## ORDER SHEET

## WEST BENGAL HOUSING INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY

C.P. Mishra......Complainant

AND

Riverbank Developers Pvt. Ltd......Respondent

Complaint No. COM-000287

| Sl. Number<br>and date of<br>order | Order and signature of Officer  | Note of<br>action<br>Taken<br>on order |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1                                  |   |  |
| Dictated & corrected by me         | Complainant is present filing hazira.   |  |
|                                    | Respondent is not properly represented though notice has been delivered as    |  |
|                                    | per postal slips. One Mr. Prithwish Roy Chowdhury Ld. Advocate appeared       |  |
|                                    | on behalf of the Respondent, without any vakalatnama or written               |  |
|                                    | authorization. This appearance of Ld. Advocate is not found to be supported   |  |
|                                    | by authorization from Respondent and therefore, Authority is not inclined to  |  |
|                                    | accept the appearance.  |  |
|                                    | Complaint petition has duly been served upon the Respondent.                  |  |
|                                    | Heard the Complainant.  | 654                                    |
|                                    | This is the case of the Complainant that allotment against application        |  |
|                                    | no.32186 was issued in favour of the Complainant in the project "Highland"    | -                                      |
|                                    | Greens" for purchase of apartment no.9A1 in Tower No.20 of the project on     |  |
|                                    | payment of Rs.18,05,000/- as per general terms and conditions of the          |  |
|                                    | allotment as communicated by the Respondent Company. It is alleged by the     |  |
|                                    | Complainant that despite making payment of Rs.19,55,491/- duly                |  |
|                                    | acknowledged by proper receipt by Respondent Company, the delivery of         |  |
|                                    | possession of the property is not yet concluded. Complainant has sought       |  |
|                                    | orders to refund of the entire deposits along with admissible compensation as |  |
|                                    | the Respondent Company failed to fulfil their obligations to make delivery of |  |
|                                    | possession of the flat within due date of possession.                         |  |

Dictated & corrected by me Prima facie this Authority is satisfied to proceed further in terms of Rule 36(2) of WBHIRA Rule, 2018 for contraventions for section 18 of WBHIRA Act, 2017 and accordingly issue notice to Respondent to file written response on affidavit within one week of receipt of this order, serving a copy to the Complainant.

Fix this matter for further hearing and orders on 03/04/2020.

(ONKAR SINGH MEENA)
Designated Authority,
Housing Industry Regulatory Authority,
West Bengal.